	Case 3:07-cv-04012-SC Document 63	Filed 04/07/2008 Page 1 of 4						
1 2 3 4 5	SCOTT EDWARD COLE (State Bar No. 160744) Email: www.scalaw.com SCOTT COLE & ASSOCIATES, APC 1970 Broadway, Ninth Floor Oakland, California 94612 Tel: (510) 891-9800 Fax: (510) 891-7030 Attorneys for Plaintiff ROBERT RUNNINGS							
6 7 8 9 10	JEREMY R. FIETZ (State Bar No. 200396) Email: jeremy@classattorneys.com EDGAR LAW FIRM 408 College Avenue Santa Rosa, California 95401 Tel: (707) 545-3200 Fax: (707) 578-3040 Attorneys for Plaintiffs MIGUEL A. CRUZ and JOHN D. HANSEN							
11 12 13 14 15	ALEXANDER HERNAEZ (State Bar No. 201441) Email: hernaez@kmm.com KAUFF MCCLAIN & MCGUIRE LLP One Post Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, California 94104 Tel: (415) 421-3111 Fax: (415) 421-0938 Attorneys for Defendant DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC.							
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
18 19	MIGUEL A. CRUZ, and JOHN D. HANSEN, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	CASE NO. C 07 2050 SC (ENE) and CASE NO. C 07 04012 SC						
20	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REMOVING CASES FROM						
21	V.	ENE OR EXTENDING DEADLINE TO HOLD AN ENE SESSION						
22	DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC., Defendant.							
23								
24	ROBERT RUNNINGS individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	JUDGE: Hon. Samuel Conti COMPLAINTS FILED: April 11, 2007						
25	Plaintiff,	July 6, 2007 TRIAL DATES: No dates set.						
26	V.							
27	DOLLAR TREE STORES, INC., Defendant.							
28								

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The parties hereby request an extension of the deadline to hold the ENE session and a corresponding continuation of the pre-session telephone conference as the Court is currently considering the Motions for Summary Judgment filed by the defendant against Plaintiffs John Hansen and Robert Runnings. As the ruling upon such motions is likely to have significant impact upon the parties' view of their respective cases, it is difficult for the parties to prepare for an ENE session without the benefit of such ruling.

As a result the parties do not believe a session at this time will be productive.

The parties therefore jointly request that these cases be removed from the ENE program, and that the possibility of ADR be addressed in the future when these cases are more fully developed. In the alternative the parties request that the deadline to hold the ENE session be extended to September 1, 2008, and that the pre-session telephone conference set for April 14, 2008 be correspondingly postponed.

It is so stipulated.

- 2 -

	Case 3:07-c	v-04012-SC	Document 63	Filed 04/07/2008	Page 3 of 4
1	DATED:	April 7, 2008	3	KAUFF MCCLAIN	& MCGUIRE LLP
2				D.	
3 4				By:ALEXANDE Attorneys for Defe DOLLAR TREE ST	R HERNAEZ
5					
6	DATED:	April 7, 2008	3	SCOTT COLE & A	SSOCIATES, APC
7					
8				By:CA	RRIE S. LIN
9				Attorneys for Plain ROBERT RUNNIN	tiff IGS
11	DATED:	April 7, 2008	3	EDGAR LAW FIRM	M
12					
13				By:	EMY R. FIETZ
14					
15				MIGUEL A. CRUZ	tiffs and JOHN D HANSEN
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	STIDLII ATIONI AN		DED DEMOVING CASES	· 3 -	CASE NO. C 07 2050 SC (ENE.)